HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.17893 OF 2006

Dated:15.09.2006

Batchu Prabhavathi

…Petitioner

The Station House Officer,
I Town Police Station, Kavali,
Nellore District and two others.

…Respondents

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.17893 OF 2006

ORAL ORDER: ***(per the Hon'ble Sri Justice D.S.R.Varma)***

Heard the learned Assistant Government Pleader, representing the learned Advocate General, appearing for the respondents.

2. This writ petition is taken up on the basis of a telegram, dated 24.08.2006, addressed to the Honourable Chief Justice, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, by one Batchu Prabhavathi, R/o. Stuartpuram, Bapatla Mandal,

Guntur District, alleging that on 11.08.2006, the Sub-Inspector of Police, I Town Police Station, Kavali, along with four other constables, has forcibly abducted her husband by name Batchu Daniel and that she is apprehending danger to his life.

3. Upon notice being issued, the first respondent-Sub-Inspector of Police, I Town Police Station, Kavali, Nellore District, and in-charge Sub-Inspector of Police, Range Crime Control Squad, filed counter-affidavit stating, *inter alia*, that the husband of the petitioner by name Batchu Daniel is required in several offences; that he is an accused in Cr.No.87 of 2006 registered for the offence punishable under Section 392 I.P.C; that the alleged detenu is a professional Inter-State property offender and further Dossier Criminal sheets are also maintained against him at various Districts viz., D.C.Nos.924 of Guntur, 230 of Prakasam, 587 of West Godavari, 658 of Srikakulam, 637 of Visakhapatnam, 220 of Vizianagaram and 298 of Orissa State. It is further stated that the alleged detenue is involved in as many as 25 cases in respect of D.C.No.924 of Guntur District, which is maintained since 22.06.1979, and one of the accused in the said offence, who was apprehended, had confessed that he committed the offences along with the alleged detenue. It is also further stated that insofar as the alleged detenue is concerned, he is not yet been apprehended and hectic efforts are being made by the Police to apprehend him. The other allegations made in the telegram of the petitioner have also been denied in the counter-affidavit.

4. In view of the innumerable revelations made in the

counter-affidavit, filed by the first respondent-Sub-Inspector of Police, I Town Police Station, Kavali, Nellore District, we do not find any justifiable reason to entertain this writ petition and pass any orders.

5. The writ petition is liable to be closed and is, accordingly, closed, at the stage of admission.

_____

D.S.R.VARMA, J

_____

P.SWAROOP REDDY, J

15.09.2006
DR/KRK